

**COUNCIL MEETING – 5 SEPTEMBER 2018
QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE NO.40**

QUESTION NO.	QUESTION FROM	QUESTION TO	QUESTION
02/2018	Mr Colin Gordon	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	<p>Given Middlesbrough Council has recent independent survey evidence that the traffic noise levels for many residents on Dixon Bank exceed those that have a 'significant adverse impact on health and quality of life', and under these circumstances, government policy is to avoid/minimise/mitigate and where possible, improve health and quality of life.</p> <p>Why does he and Middlesbrough Council consider it acceptable to impose on the same residents, infrastructure and housing schemes that are totally against government policy as they increase noise levels, maximise traffic down Dixons Bank, offer no mitigation, and so further negatively impact on the health and quality of life of these residents?</p>
03/2018	Mr Morgan McClintock	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	<p>On 10th August 2018, Councillor Young was quoted in the Gazette as saying that the consultation about his proposals for Dixon's Bank / Stainton Way had "taken on board" the views of the people consulted.</p> <p>In which specific ways has he amended the initial proposal to take on board the feedback from the consultation, and will all the Middlesbrough residents who submitted correspondence during the consultation receive a personal response to their suggestions and comments, as promised?</p>

04/2018	Mr David Murdoch	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	I would like to ask Councillor Young how the plans for the downgraded Nunthorpe bypass will effect emergency vehicles , bearing in mind the additional traffic generated by the new houses on the proposed Nunthorpe grange site. At present the bypass provides a quick and safer route than Guisborough road.
05/2018	Mr Wade Tovey	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	What alternatives (buses, trains, cycle routes, park and ride) were considered for the Marton crawl other than spending £1.7m on what is a relatively short term solution?
06/2018	Ms Morag Bailey	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	It is claimed consultation has taken place regarding the planning proposals for Mandale Meadows, yet residents whose properties are adjacent to the Mandale Meadows were left completely unaware during consultation of the real devastating nature of the then, so called "Access" which we discovered, by indirect means, is in actual fact planned to be a Spine/ Relief road. Why were those residents not kept appraised please, and therefore denied the opportunity to raise concerns, and or, object accordingly?
07/2018	Ms Judith Maunder	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	Noise pollution survey: I noted that the noise pollution survey for Mandale Meadow was conducted at a quiet time of day. Are you intending to conduct a survey during term time in a time slot between 8am to 9am or 5pm to 6.30pm?
08/2018	Mr Adrian Allanson Walker	Executive Member for	When I attended the call-in meeting on 2 August, Councillor Lewis Young, stated confidently that the South West Middlesbrough Microsimulation

		Economic Development and Infrastructure	<p>Model Forecasting Report (issued by Arup on 9th December 2016) was supportive of the Southern Cross junction scheme.</p> <p>Please can Councillor Young advise the meeting why he chose to disregard all the caveats listed in the aforementioned report.</p>
09/2018	Helen McGregor	Executive Member for Economic Development and Infrastructure	<p>Exactly how many houses are planned to be built in total between Low Lane and Mandale roundabout and how many people will be using the proposed office block? I am asking so we can calculate how many vehicles will be expected to be using the exit on to Mandale Road.</p>