

## Template for Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

<b>Subject of assessment:</b>	Gresham Redevelopment			
<b>Coverage:</b>	Overarching/crosscutting relating to the plans to redevelop Gresham.			
<b>This is a decision relating to:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Strategy</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Policy</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Service</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Function</b>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Process/procedure</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Programme</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Project</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Review</b>
	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Organisational change</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other (please state)</b>		
<b>It is a:</b>	<b>New approach:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Revision of an existing approach:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>It is driven by:</b>	<b>Legislation:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Local or corporate requirements:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Key aims, objectives and activities</b></p> <p>To update the Executive on the Gresham Student Village proposals and seek approval to dispose of part of the site to Teesside University and bring forward housing development on the rest of the site.</p> <p><b>Statutory drivers</b></p> <p>The Council has approved the use of CPO powers and the Order has been submitted to the Secretary of State. The remaining properties are required to ensure the large-scale regeneration of Gresham proceeds. Piecemeal redevelopment will lead to a reduced standard of development and the isolation of the remaining Gresham residents.</p> <p><b>Differences from any previous approach</b></p> <p>The Council adopted a high level masterplan that proposed the creation of a Student Village as the first phase of redevelopment. It is now proposed to progress the sale of part of the site to facilitate the Student Village and to amend the high level masterplan in order to incorporate public open space in the housing development.</p> <p><b>Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate)</b></p> <p>The key stakeholders are: the owners of remaining properties within the area; Teesside University; and, residents and business owners in the town centre.</p> <p><b>Intended outcomes.</b></p> <p>To bring forward the ambitious and aspirational redevelopment of Gresham.</p>			
<b>Live date:</b>	The Executive will consider the Gresham Redevelopment report on the 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2018.			
<b>Lifespan:</b>	It is anticipated that the site will be developed over a number of years with the exact timescales to be determined.			
<b>Date of next review:</b>				

Screening questions	Response			Evidence
	No	Yes	Uncertain	
<b>Human Rights</b> Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision to progress the redevelopment of Gresham will not have a negative impact on Human Rights. The Human Rights of individuals were considered prior to commencing the CPO process, it was considered that the exercise of CPO powers would be a proportionate response to the situation, given that there have been a number of attempts over recent years to seek to acquire the remaining land by agreement, with no success. This decision will secure the comprehensive redevelopment of the site, which is a priority for the Council.
<b>Equality</b> Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Owners of private houses and businesses in the area.  The Council has engaged with all property owners who have been willing to enter into negotiations for the sale of their property. The Council will ensure that no group with a protected characteristic (age and disability) is disproportionately affected by the CPO and will consider their needs within any compensation assessment and highlight the support packages that are available.
<b>Community cohesion</b> Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The redevelopment proposals would not negatively impact on community cohesion. There are a number of isolated occupied properties in Gresham which adjoin long-term vacant properties, leading to deteriorating living conditions. The redevelopment of the area will contribute towards the improvement of the social, economic and environmental well-being of Gresham.
<b>Middlesbrough 2025 – The Vision for Middlesbrough</b> Could the decision impact negatively on the achievement of the vision for a Fairer, Safer Stronger Middlesbrough?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision would help achieve the vision for Gresham and help regenerate a key site, thus positively contributing towards the Middlesbrough 2025 vision.

\* Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance appendix for details on the issues covered by each of these broad questions prior to completion.

Screening questions	Response			Evidence
<b>Organisational management / Change Programme</b> Could the decision impact negatively on organisational management or the transformation of the Council's services as set out in its Change Programme?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision would not have any implications on the Council's transformation programme.
<p><b>Next steps:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed.</li> <li>➤ If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed.</li> </ul>				

<b>Assessment completed by:</b>	Andrew Carr	<b>Head of Service:</b>	Steve Fletcher
<b>Date:</b>	10.7.18	<b>Date:</b>	10.7.18