

## Extracts showing proposed amendments

# Corporate Governance & Voting Guidelines

## Shareholder Proposals

We will assess shareholder proposals on a case-by-case basis. Consideration will be given as to whether the proposal reflects Border to Coast's Responsible Investment policy, is balanced and worded appropriately, and supports the long-term economic interests of shareholders.

Shareholder proposals are an important tool to improve transparency. Therefore, we will, when considered appropriate, support resolutions requesting additional reporting or reasonable action that is in shareholders' best interests on material business risk, ESG topics, climate risk and lobbying.

We will generally vote in favour of shareholder proposals that are aligned with the objectives of the Paris climate agreement, taking a 'comply or explain' approach, publicly disclosing our rationale if we vote against.

We will generally vote in favour of shareholder proposals that ask companies to mitigate deforestation risks, taking a 'comply or explain' approach, publicly disclosing our rationale if we vote against.

## Climate change

Climate change is a systemic risk which poses significant investment risks, but also opportunities, with the potential to impact long-term shareholder value. We believe it is vital we fully understand how companies are dealing with this challenge, and feel it is our duty to hold the boards of our investee companies to account.

Our primary objective from climate related voting and engagement is to encourage companies to adapt their business strategy in order to align with a low carbon economy and reach net zero by 2050 or sooner. The areas we consider include climate governance; strategy and Paris alignment; command of the climate subject; board oversight and incentivisation; TCFD disclosures and scenario planning; scope 3 emissions and the supply chain; capital allocation alignment, climate accounting, a just transition and exposure to climate-stressed regions.

For companies in high emitting sectors that do not sufficiently address the impact of climate change on their businesses, we will oppose the agenda item most appropriate for that issue. To that end, the nomination of the accountable board member takes precedence.

Companies that are not making sufficient progress in mitigating climate risk are identified using recognised industry benchmarks including the Transition Pathway Initiative ('TPI'), the Climate Action 100+ ('CA100+') Net Zero Benchmark and the Urgewald Global Coal Exit List. We use TPI scores and will vote against the Chair (or relevant agenda item) where companies are scored 2 or lower, and for Oil and Gas companies scoring 3 or lower, unless

more up to date information is available. Where a company covered by CA100+ Net Zero Benchmark fails indicators of the Benchmark, which includes a net zero by 2050 (or sooner) ambition, short, medium and long-term emission reduction targets, and decarbonisation strategy, we will also vote against the Chair of the Board.

Additionally, an internally developed framework is used to identify companies with insufficient progress on climate change and not covered by the industry benchmarks.

Where management put forward a 'Say on Climate' resolution, we will vote against the agenda item if, following our analysis, we believe it is not aligned with the Paris Agreement.

We expect companies that have high exposure to deforestation risk commodities (palm oil, soy, beef, and timber, paper and pulp) to take action to address those risks within their operations and supply chains. For companies that have such exposure, but either don't have adequate policies and processes in place to reduce their impact or are involved in severe deforestation-linked controversies, we will oppose the re-election of the Chair of the Sustainability Committee (or most appropriate agenda item). Assessments of the quality of mitigating actions are based on external benchmarks such as the Forest500.

Banks will play a pivotal role in the transition to a low carbon economy, and we will therefore be including the sector when voting on climate-related issues. We will assess banks using the IIGCC/TPI framework and will vote against the Chair of the Sustainability Committee, or the agenda item most appropriate, in the case where we have significant concerns regarding the bank's transition plans to net zero.

We support a just transition towards a low-carbon economy which should be inclusive and acknowledge existing global disparities. We recognise that not all countries are at the same stage in their decarbonisation journey and need to consider the different transition timelines for emerging market economies. Therefore, in the interests of a just transition we will assess the implications when considering our voting decisions on a case-by-case basis.