Appendix 1 = Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

Subject of assessment:	Transfer of land and assets at Gresham to Middlesbrough Development Corporation (MDC)							
Coverage:	Site-specific							
	Strategy	Policy	Service	Function				
This is a decision relating to:	Process/procedure	Programme	Project	Review				
	Organisational change	sational change 🗌 Other (please state)						
It is a:	New approach:		Revision of an existing approach:					
It is driven by:	Legislation:		Local or corporate requiren	ments:				
	Key aims, objectives and activities							
	To assess the impact of the dispose of Council land and assets to facilitate a mixed-use development by MDC and realise economic benefits for the town.							
	The disposal aligns with the Local Plan (2014).							
	Statutory drivers							
	The Local Government Act 1972 Section 123, as amended by the Local Government Planning and Land Act 1980 Section 118							
Description:	Schedule 23 Part V.							
	Differences from any previous approach							
	Not applicable.							
	Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate)							
	The Council, developers and the local community.							
	Intended outcomes							
	The proposed disposal would facilitate a mixed-use development by MDC and realise economic benefits for the town and help the Council to meets its obligations under the Local Plan (2014).							

Live date:	March 2025
Lifespan:	Until the site is transferred to MDC.
Date of next review:	Not Applicable

Screening questions		Response		Feddaman
		Yes	Uncertai n	- Evidence
Human Rights Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation? [*]				It is considered that the disposal of the land and assets will not impact negatively on individual human rights as the proposal represents a significant and positive enhancement for the local and wider areas, which outweighs the loss of the parcel of land.
Equality Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?*				 The Council has a duty to consider the impact of the proposed decision on relevant protected characteristics, to ensure it has due regard to the public sector equality duty. Therefore, in the process of taking decisions, the duty requires the Council to have due regard to the need to: a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act; b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it, and c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. It is considered that the proposal to facilitate the development will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on a group, or individuals, because they hold a protected characteristic. Evidence used to inform this assessment includes engagement to date with relevant Council departmental teams.

^{*} Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance for details on the issues covered by each of theses broad questions prior to completion.

oups, communities of interest or eighbourhoods within the town?*		It is not considered that there will be any adverse impact on community cohesion or negative impact on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town.
rmed Forces ould the decision impact negatively in those who are currently members the armed forces of former embers in the areas of Council elivered healthcare, compulsory ducation and housing policies?*		There are no concerns that the proposal could have an adverse impact on community cohesion. The decision to deliver the projects will provide a positive impact on currently and former members of the armed forces.
are leavers ould the decision impact negatively n those who are care «perienced?* ext steps:		There are no concerns that the proposal could have an adverse impact on care leavers. The decision to deliver the projects will provide a positive impact on those who are care experienced.

➡ If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed.

➡ If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed.

Assessment completed by:	Andrew Carr	Head of Service:	Sam Gilmore
Date:	05/03/2025	Date:	05/03/2025