Appendix D

Teesside Pension Fund

Risk Management Policy 2026

Risk Management Policy

Introduction

This is the Risk Management Policy of the Teesside Pension Fund ("the Fund"), part of the Local Government Pension Scheme ("LGPS") managed and administered by Middlesbrough Council ("the Administering Authority"). The Risk Management Policy details the risk management strategy for the Fund, including:

- the risk philosophy for the management of the Fund, and in particular attitudes to, and appetite for, risk
- how risk management is implemented
- risk management responsibilities
- the procedures that are adopted in the Fund's risk management process
- the key internal controls operated by the Administering Authority and other parties responsible for the management of the Fund.

The Administering Authority recognises that effective risk management is an essential element of good governance in the LGPS. By identifying and managing risks through an effective policy and risk management strategy, the Administering Authority can:

- demonstrate best practice in governance
- improve financial management
- minimise the risk and effect of adverse conditions
- identify and maximise opportunities that might arise
- minimise threats.

The Administering Authority adopts best practice risk management, which supports a structured and focused approach to managing risks, and ensures risk management is an integral part in the governance of the Fund at a strategic and operational level.

To whom this Policy Applies

This Risk Management Policy applies to all members of the Pension Fund Committee and the local Pension Board, including both scheme member and employer representatives. It also applies to senior officers involved in the management of the Fund.

Less senior officers involved in the daily management of the Fund are also integral to managing risk for the Fund, and will be required to have appropriate understanding of risk management relating to their roles, which will be determined and managed by the Head of Pensions Governance and Investments.

Advisers and suppliers to the Fund are also expected to be aware of this Policy, and assist officers, Committee members and Board members as required, in meeting the objectives of this Policy.

Aims and Objectives

In relation to understanding and monitoring risk, the Administering Authority aims to:

- integrate risk management into the culture and day-to-day activities of the Fund
- raise awareness of the need for risk management by all those connected with the management of the Fund (including advisers, employers and other partners)
- anticipate and respond positively to change
- minimise the probability of negative outcomes for the Fund and its stakeholders
- establish and maintain a robust framework and procedures for identification, analysis, assessment and management of risk, and the reporting and recording of events, based on best practice
- ensure consistent application of the risk management methodology across all Fund activities, including projects and partnerships.

To assist in achieving these objectives in the management of the Fund, the Administering Authority will aim to comply with:

- the CIPFA Managing Risk publication and

- the Pensions Act 2004 and the Pensions Regulator's Code of Practice as they relate to managing risk.

Risk Management Philosophy

The Administering Authority recognises that it is not possible or even desirable to eliminate all risks. For example, the Fund's investment strategy shows a strong preference for growth assets, which involves accepting a level of risk. Accepting and actively managing risk is therefore a key part of the risk management strategy for the Fund. A key determinant in selecting the action to be taken in relation to any risk will be its potential impact on the Fund's objectives in light of the Administering Authority's risk appetite, particularly in relation to investment matters. Equally important is striking a balance between the cost of risk control actions against the possible effect of the risk occurring.

In managing risk, the Administering Authority will:

- ensure that there is a proper balance between risk taking and the opportunities to be gained
- adopt a system that will enable the Fund to anticipate and respond positively to change
- minimise loss and damage to the Fund and to other stakeholders who are dependent on the benefits and services provided
- make sure that any new areas of activity (new investment strategies, joint-working, framework agreements etc.), are only undertaken if the risks they present are fully understood and taken into account in making decisions.

The Administering Authority also recognises that risk management is not an end in itself; nor will it remove risk from the Fund or the Administering Authority. However it is a sound management technique that is an essential part of the Administering Authority's stewardship of the Fund. The benefits of a sound risk management approach include better decision-making, improved performance and delivery of services, more effective use of resources and the protection of reputation.

CIPFA and The Pensions Regulator's Requirements

CIPFA Managing Risk Publication

CIPFA has published technical guidance on managing risk in the LGPS. The publication explores how risk manifests itself across the broad spectrum of activity that constitutes LGPS financial management and administration, and how, by using established risk management techniques, those risks can be identified, analysed and managed effectively.

The publication also considers how to approach risk in the LGPS in the context of the role of the administering authority as part of a wider local authority and how the approach to risk might be communicated to other stakeholders.

The Pension Regulator's Code of Practice

The Public Service Pensions Act 2013 added the following provision to the Pensions Act 2004 relating to the requirement to have internal controls in public service pension schemes.

> *"**249B Requirement for internal controls: public service pension schemes***
>
> *(1) The scheme manager of a public service pension scheme must establish and operate internal controls which are adequate for the purpose of securing that the scheme is administered and managed—*
>
> *(a) in accordance with the scheme rules, and*
>
> *(b) in accordance with the requirements of the law.*
>
> *(2) Nothing in this section affects any other obligations of the scheme manager to establish or operate internal controls, whether imposed by or by virtue of any enactment, the scheme rules or otherwise.*
>
> *(3) In this section, "enactment" and "internal controls" have the same meanings as in section 249A."*

Section 90A of the Pensions Act 2004 requires the Pensions Regulator to issue a code of practice relating to internal controls. The Pensions Regulator has issued such a code in which they encourage governing bodies (i.e. administering authorities in the LGPS) to employ a risk based approach to assessing the adequacy of their internal controls and to ensure that sufficient time and attention is spent on identifying, evaluating and managing risks and developing and monitoring appropriate controls.

The Pensions Regulator's guidance states that

"Internal controls refer to all the following:

- the arrangements and procedures to be followed in the administration and management of the scheme
- the systems and arrangements for monitoring that administration and management, and
- arrangements and procedures to be followed for the safe custody and security of the assets of the scheme.

Before designing internal controls, the governing body should identify risks, record them, review them regularly, and evaluate them. The evaluation of risks will help the governing body to determine which risks require internal controls to be put in place to reduce their incidence and impact.

The governing body should design internal controls which ensure that the scheme is administered and managed in accordance with the requirements of the law and the scheme rules. The scheme's internal controls should also:

- include a clear separation of duties for those performing them, and processes for escalation and decision-making
- require the exercise of judgement, where appropriate, in assessing the risk profile of the scheme and in designing appropriate controls.
- The governing body should then make sure that their internal controls are documented.

A scheme's internal controls should be reviewed:

- in line with the timescales for own risk assessments for the governing body, who are required to carry out such assessments,
- at least annually for governing bodies of public service pension schemes

However, the review of controls can be staggered if they address different areas of a scheme's operations or governance."

Further key points from the Pensions Regulator's guidance include:

"It is not necessary, nor possible, to eliminate all risks from a pension scheme. For example, some investment risks may be accepted by the governing body in their desire to seek greater returns.

The governing body should decide what internal controls are appropriate to mitigate the key risks they have identified and how best to monitor them. They should exercise judgement, both in assessing the scheme risk profile and in designing appropriate controls to mitigate such key risks.

The legal responsibility for internal controls always rests with the governing body, even if functions or activities are delegated to advisers or service providers."

Under section 13 of the Pensions Act 2004, the Pensions Regulator can issue an improvement notice (i.e. a notice requiring steps to be taken to rectify a situation) where it is considered that the requirements relating to internal controls are not being adhered to.

Application to the Teesside Pension Fund

The Administering Authority adopts the principles contained in CIPFA's Managing Risk in the LGPS document and the Pension Regulator's code of practice in relation to the Fund. This Risk Policy highlights how the Administering Authority strives to achieve those principles through use of risk management processes and internal controls incorporating regular monitoring and reporting.

Responsibility

The Administering Authority must be satisfied that risks are appropriately managed. For this purpose, the Head of Pensions Governance and Investments is the designated individual for ensuring the process outlined below is carried out, subject to the oversight of the Pension Fund Committee.

However, it is the responsibility of each individual covered by this Policy to identify any potential risks for the Fund and ensure that they are fed into the risk management process.

The Teesside Pension Fund Risk Management Process

The Administering Authority's risk management process is in line with that recommended by CIPFA and is a continuous approach which systematically looks at risks surrounding the Fund's past, present and future activities. The main processes involved in risk management are identified in the figure below and detailed in the following sections:

1. Risk Identification

The risk identification process is both a proactive and reactive one: looking forward i.e. horizon scanning for potential risks, and looking back, by learning lessons from reviewing how previous decisions and existing processes have manifested in risks to the organisation.

Risks are identified by a number of means including, but not limited to:

- formal risk assessment exercises managed by the Pension Fund Committee
- performance measurement against agreed objectives
- findings of internal and external audit and other adviser reports
- feedback from the local Pension Board, employers and other stakeholders
- informal meetings of senior officers or other staff involved in the management of the Fund
- liaison with other organisations, regional and national associations, professional groups, etc.
- legal determinations, including from the Pensions Ombudsman, Pensions Regulator and court cases

Once identified, risks will be documented on the Fund's risk register, which is the primary control document for the subsequent analysis, control and monitoring of those risks.

2. Risk Analysis & Evaluation

Once potential risks have been identified, the next stage of the process is to analyse and profile each risk. Risks will be assessed by considering the likelihood of the risk occurring and the impact if it does occur, with the score for likelihood multiplied by the score for impact to determine the current overall risk rating, as illustrated in Middlesbrough Council's Risk Matrix on the next page.

Likelihood	**5**	**Almost Certain >80%**	Low (5)	Medium (10)	High (15)	High (25)	High (35)
	4	**Likely 51% - 80%**	Low (4)	Medium (8)	High (12)	High (20)	High (28)
	3	**Possible 21% - 50%**	Low (3)	Medium (6)	Medium (9)	High (15)	High (21)
	2	**Unlikely 6- 20%**	Low (2)	Low (4)	Medium (6)	Medium (10)	High (14)
	1	**Rare <6%**	Low (1)	Low (2)	Low (3)	Low (5)	Medium (7)
			1	**2**	**3**	**5**	**7**
			Insignificant	**Minor**	**Moderate**	**Major**	**Extreme**

Risk/Impact Type	**Impact**				
Financial	<£0.1m	£0.1m - £0.5m	£0.5m - £1m	£1m - £3m	>£3m
Reputation	No publicity	Adverse internal publicity	Local media coverage	National media < 3 day coverage	National media > 3 day coverage
Health and Safety	No/minor injury	Superficial injuries, minor cuts and bruises, nuisance and irritation, ill health leading to temporary minor disability	Occupational deafness, dermatitis, allergy, WRULDs, RSIs, VWF, ill health leading to permanent minor disability. HSE Enquiry	Amputations, permanent loss of eyesight, major fractures, poisonings and gassings, severe/multiple/fatal injuries Long term disability or need for redeployment	Multiple fatalities
Data		Business critical information compromised	Serious breach of information confidentiality	Temporary loss of business critical information	Indefinite loss of business critical information
Staff Morale	Passing Problem, Days	Short term issue (weeks)	Staff morale – longer term issue (months)	Staff morale – significant problem (>12 months)	Staff morale – major breakdown/loss of staff confidence or management authority
Business Targets	Occasional missing of business targets by more than 20%	Frequent missing of business targets by more than 30%	Frequent missing of business targets by more than 40%	Frequent missing of business targets by more than 50%	Frequent missing of all business targets
Operational	Operational inconvenience not affecting quality of service	Service disruption causing operational inconvenience for up to 12 hours	Service interrupted and/or work area unusable, necessitating temporary working arrangements for up to 24 hours	Services curtailed for up to 48 hours and/or areas beyond the directorate affected	Services curtailed for more than 48 hours
Partnership	Weak partnerships – general inconvenience only	Weak partnerships – minor issues readily overcome	Significant weakness in partner relationships	Unreliable partner(s) in contracts	Partnership performance so bad needs dissolving
Legal		Minor out-of-court settlement	Civil action – no defence	Class action	Criminal prosecution – no defence

When considering the risk rating, the Administering Authority will have regard to the existing controls in place and these will be summarised on the risk register.

3. Risk Response

The Head of Pensions Governance and Investments will review the extent to which the identified risks are covered by existing internal controls and determine whether any further action is required to control the risk, including reducing the likelihood of a risk event occurring or reducing the severity of the consequences should it occur. Before any such action can be taken, Pension Fund Committee approval may be required where appropriate officer delegations are not in place. The result of any change to the internal controls could result in any of the following:

- **Tolerate** – the exposure of a risk may be tolerable without any further action being taken; this is partially driven by the Administering Authority's risk 'appetite' in relation to the Pension Fund;
- **Treat** – action is taken to constrain the risk to an acceptable level;
- **Terminate** – some risks will only be treatable, or containable to acceptable levels, by terminating the activity;
- **Transfer** - for example, transferring the risk to another party either by insurance or through a contractual arrangement.

The Fund's risk register details all further action in relation to a risk and the owner for that action.

4. Risk Monitoring & Review

Risk monitoring is the final part of the risk management cycle and will be the responsibility of the Pension Fund Committee. In monitoring risk management activity, the Committee will consider whether:

- the risk controls taken achieved the desired outcomes
- the procedures adopted and information gathered for undertaking the risk assessment were appropriate
- greater knowledge of the risk and potential outcomes would have improved the decision-making process in relation to that risk
- there are any lessons to be learned for the future assessment and management of risks.

5. Risk Reporting

Progress in managing risks will be monitored and recorded on the risk register. The risk register, including any changes to the internal controls, will be provided on an annual basis to the Pension Fund Committee.

The Pension Fund Committee will be provided with updates on a quarterly basis in relation to any changes to risks and any newly identified risks.

As a matter of course, the local Pension Board will be provided with the same information as is provided to the Pension Fund Committee and they will be able to provide comment and input to the management of risks.

In order to identify whether the objectives of this policy are being met, the Administering Authority will review the delivery of the requirements of this Policy on an annual basis taking into consideration any feedback from the local Pension Board.

The risks identified are of significant importance to the Pension Fund. Where a risk is identified that could be of significance to the Council it could also be included in the Council's Risk Register.

Key risks to the effective delivery of this Policy

The key risks to the delivery of this Policy are outlined below. The Pension Fund Committee will monitor these and other key risks and consider how to respond to them.

- Risk management becomes mechanistic, is not embodied into the day to day management of the Fund and consequently the objectives of the Policy are not delivered
- Changes in Pension Fund Committee and/or local Pension Board membership and/or senior officers mean key risks are not identified due to lack of knowledge
- Insufficient resources are available to satisfactorily assess or take appropriate action in relation to

identified risks
- Risks are incorrectly assessed due to a lack of knowledge or understanding, leading to inappropriate levels of risk being taken without proper controls
- Lack of engagement or awareness of external factors means key risks are not identified.
- Conflicts of interest or other factors lead to a failure to identify or assess risks appropriately

Costs

All costs related to this Risk Policy are met directly by the Fund.

Approval, Review and Consultation

This Risk Policy will presented to the Teesside Pension Fund Committee meeting on 11 December 2024. It will be formally reviewed and updated at least every three years or sooner if the risk management arrangements or other matters included within it merit reconsideration.

Further Information

If you require further information about anything in or related to this Risk Policy, please contact:

Andrew Lister, Head of Pensions Governance and Investments

Middlesbrough Council
Fountain Court, 119 Grange Road
Middlesbrough, TS1 2DT

Email: andrew_lister@middlesbrough.gov.uk
Telephone: 01642 726328